Letter to the Editor

Coronaviruses in children with febrile neutropenia

Dear Editor,

Respiratory viruses are a possible etiology of infections in pediatric febrile neutropenic patients, considering that they are the most frequent cause of fever in otherwise healthy children.1 We conducted a prospective, observational study, from March to December 2012, in Santa Casa de São Paulo, a busy quaternary public hospital in the largest and most populous city in Brazil, with the objective of evaluating the frequency of respiratory viruses in upper airway secretion samples from febrile neutropenic pediatric patients.

Fever and neutropenia were defined as previously described.2 Febrile neutropenia episodes in the same patient were considered different episodes if they were at least 15 days apart and complete resolution of the previous episode had occurred. Patients were invited to participate in the study within 72 h after admission. If agreed, a written consent form was signed by one of the parents or the responsible adult for the patient. After consent, information regarding patient’s demographics, clinical and laboratory data was collected. A nasal swab, an oropharyngeal swab and also a saliva sample (collected with Salivette®) were obtained. Viral detection was performed using the FTD Respiratory 21-Multiplex (Fast-Track Diagnostics, Luxembourg), a real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) kit capable of detecting 21 agents, including influenza A, H1N1 and B; coronaviruses N63, OC43, 229E and HKU1; parainfluenza 1, 2, 3 and 4; rhinovirus; respiratory syncytial viruses A and B; adenovirus; enterovirus; parechovirus; bocavirus; metapneumoviruses A and B; and Mycoplasma pneumoniae.

Twenty patients were admitted to the hospital during the study, with 38 febrile neutropenia episodes. Five of these patients refused to participate in the study. Of the remaining 15 patients (23 febrile neutropenia episodes), 40% were male, with a mean age of 8.9 years. The most common oncolgic diagnosis was acute lymphocytic leukemia, in 10 patients. Other oncolgic diagnosis included acute myeloid leukemia, Hodgkin’s lymphoma, osteosarcoma, Ewing sarcoma and hepatoblastoma. In 18 of the 23 episodes, a clinical diagnosis of infection was possible, including upper respiratory infection (5) oral candidiasis (5), gastrointestinal infection (3), catheter-related infection (2), lower respiratory tract infection (1), herpes zoster (1), and necrotizing fasciitis (1). Patients had positive blood cultures in 8 of the 23 episodes, and the most common agents were Gram-negative bacteria. Urine cultures were positive in two episodes, both for Gram-negative agents. In eight patients at least one respiratory virus was detected. The most frequent was coronavirus, including 229E, NL63 and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Nasal swab</th>
<th>Oropharyngeal swab</th>
<th>Saliva</th>
<th>Blood cultures</th>
<th>Clinical diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#10</td>
<td>Rhinovirus</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>Necrotizing fasciitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#19</td>
<td>CoV 229E</td>
<td>CoV OC43</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Mucositis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#20</td>
<td>CoV 229E</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Acinetobacter sp.</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#21</td>
<td>CoV 229E</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#24</td>
<td>CoV NL63</td>
<td>CoV 229E</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Staphylococcus coagulase-negative</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#26</td>
<td>CoV NL63</td>
<td>CoV NL 63</td>
<td>CoV 229E</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CoV OC43</td>
<td>CoV OC43</td>
<td>CoV OC43</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#27</td>
<td>CoV OC43</td>
<td>Not collected</td>
<td>SRV</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Common cold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#29</td>
<td>SRV</td>
<td>CoV OC43</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CoV, coronavirus; PI2, parainfluenza 2; SRV, syncicial respiratory virus; CRI, catheter related infection.
OC43 types, present in seven patients. These coronaviruses were found from June to August, which is winter season in our hemisphere. We also detected one rhinovirus, one SRV and one parainfluenza.2 There was viral co-detection in four patients, always including a coronavirus (Table 1). Seven of those eight patients had another febrile neutropenic episode two to five months later. None of them had respiratory viruses detected again. Interestingly, only in three of the eight patients a clinical diagnosis of respiratory tract infection (one with otitis media, one with pneumonia and one with common cold) was present. In three of eight patients bacteria were isolated from a sterile site, but none were related to respiratory disease. None of the patients needed intensive care, mechanical ventilation or vasoactive drugs during hospitalization. There were no deaths.

Although the number of patients and episodes included in our study was low, the results were unique regarding the high frequency of coronaviruses. Previous studies in similar populations have shown that coronaviruses are relatively rare, accounting for less than 5% of all detected respiratory viruses.2,3 One possible reason for our unexpected findings could be the accuracy of coronavirus detection by the RT-PCR method that was used. Some authors have found significant heterogeneity in the accuracy of different multiplex RT-PCR methods for the detection of specific viruses.4 In addition, there is the possibility that not only coronaviruses, but also other respiratory viruses could be detected in asymptomatic individuals. These viruses could possibly be the remaining of a recent infection or could even be part, in some cases and intermittently, of the human virome.5 Larger studies are necessary to evaluate more properly the frequency of coronaviruses in the upper respiratory tract not only in oncologic patients but also in the general population, including asymptomatic individuals. The exact clinical significance of these findings remains to be determined.

**Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**REFERENCES**


Paula A. Alvares a, Eitan N. Berezin a, Andrea C. Botelho b, Denise Tiemi c, Julia R. Spinardi a, Claudia Maruyama a, Paula Bruniera d, Saulo D. Passos e, Marcelo J. Mimica f,a,*

a Santa Casa School of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics (Division of Infectious Diseases), São Paulo, Brazil
b Jundiaí School of Medicine, Molecular Biology Laboratory, São Paulo, Brazil
c Grupo em Defesa da Criança com Câncer, São Paulo, Brazil
d Santa Casa School of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics (Division of Hematology), São Paulo, Brazil
e Jundiaí School of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, São Paulo, Brazil
f Santa Casa School of Medicine, Department of Pathology (Division of Microbiology), São Paulo, Brazil

*Corresponding author at: Santa Casa School of Medicine, Department of Pathology (Division of Microbiology), São Paulo, Brazil. E-mail address: mjmimica@hotmail.com (M.J. Mimica).

Received 15 July 2013
Accepted 27 July 2013
Available online 27 November 2013

1413-8670
© 2013 Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda.
Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de CC BY-NC-ND
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2013.07.009