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Healthcare-associated Staphylococcus aureus bloodstream infection: length of stay, attributable mortality, and additional direct costs
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

This study aimed to determine the excess length of stay, extra expenditures, and attributable mortality to healthcare-associated S. aureus bloodstream infection (BSI) at a teaching hospital in central Brazil. The study design was a matched (1:1) case-control. Cases were defined as patients > 13 years old, with a healthcare-associated S. aureus BSI. Controls included patients without an S. aureus BSI, who were matched to cases by gender, age (± 7 years), morbidity, and underlying disease. Data were collected from medical records and from the Brazilian National Hospital Information System (Sistema de Informações Hospitalares do Sistema Único de Saúde – SIH/SUS). A Wilcoxon rank sum test was performed to compare length of stay and costs between cases and controls. Differences in mortality between cases and controls were compared using McNemar’s tests. The Mantel-Haenzel stratified analysis was performed to compare invasive device utilization. Data analyses were conducted using Epi Info 6.0 and Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 13.0). 84 case-control pairs matched by gender, age, admission period, morbidity, and underlying disease were analyzed. The mean lengths of hospital stay were 48.3 and 16.2 days for cases and controls, respectively (p < 0.01), yielding an excess hospital stay among cases of 32.1 days. The excess mortality among cases compared to controls that was attributable to S. aureus bloodstream infection was 45.2%. Cases had a higher risk of dying compared to controls (OR 7.3, 95% CI 3.1-21.1). Overall costs of hospitalization (SIH/SUS) reached US$ 123,065 for cases versus US$ 40,247 for controls (p < 0.01). The cost of antimicrobial therapy was 6.7 fold higher for cases compared to controls. Healthcare-associated S. aureus BSI was associated with statistically significant increases in length of hospitalization, attributable mortality, and economic burden. Implementation of measures to minimize the risk of healthcare-associated bacterial infections is essential.
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Introduction

Bloodstream infections (BSIs) are serious and potentially fatal events associated with high morbidity and mortality rates. Mortality rates among patients with BSIs vary across studies, most likely due to patient co-morbidities and etiological agents.\(^1\) Although BSIs are not the most frequent healthcare-associated infection,\(^2\) they have been associated with heavy clinical and economic burdens in many settings.\(^5-19\) In general, mortality rates associated with BSIs are high worldwide, in spite of technological advances and availability of effective antimicrobial drugs.\(^1,3,16,19-21\) Although a consensus on BSIs has been published previously,\(^3,6,8,9,13,16\) In contrast, other studies did not find differences in mortality rates between patients with and without BSIs, after controlling for some potential confounders such as age and comorbidities.\(^12,22\) Discrepant results across studies may reflect differences in study methodology, severity of infection, hospital conditions, or bacteriological profiles.

Although the bacteriological profile of healthcare-associated BSIs varies with time period, country, and hospital, S. aureus is one of the most frequently isolated BSI pathogens worldwide.\(^4,21,23,24\) S. aureus BSIs have been associated with unfavorable clinical outcomes in many studies.\(^3,25\) Some studies showed higher impact on costs and mortality rates due to methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA), compared to methicillin-susceptible strains (MSSA).\(^10,26-29\) Few studies have investigated the impact of S. aureus on costs and attributable mortality rates in Latin America.\(^9,26\) The objective of the current study was to estimate increased hospital stay, increased direct costs, and mortality attributed to healthcare-associated BSI caused by S. aureus, in patients admitted to a teaching hospital in Brazil.

Methods

Population and setting

This study was conducted in a public, tertiary-care teaching hospital in the city of Goiânia, the capital of the Brazilian state of Goiás, which has a population of approximately 1.2 million. During the study period (2000-2001), approximately 12,000 patients per year had been admitted to that hospital; 118 patients had a microbiologically confirmed BSI due to S. aureus. Blood cultures were taken on a routine basis when infection was suspected. A total of 34 cases were excluded from the analysis: seven had incomplete clinical data to rule out contamination, 24 cases had community acquired BSI, and three cases were younger than 13 years old. The remaining 84 adults had at least one episode of clinically significant healthcare-associated BSI. All clinical and laboratory data were reviewed by an infectious diseases specialist physician. More detailed information has been published previously.\(^25\)

Study design

This was a 1:1 matched case-control study, conducted from 2000 to 2001. Patients older than 13 years of age, with an episode of clinically significant healthcare-associated BSI caused by S. aureus were classified as cases. Controls were selected from patients without a positive blood culture hospitalized during the same period as the cases. Controls were matched by gender, age (±7 years), morbidity, and underlying disease according to the 10th edition of the International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). In addition, the length of hospital stay of the controls had to be as close as possible to the length of stay of the cases, from admission until the onset of BSI.

Data collection and definitions

Clinical and microbiological data were abstracted from medical records and hospital laboratory reports. Financial data were extracted from the Brazilian National Hospital Information System (Sistema de Informações Hospitalares do Sistema Único de Saúde – SIH/SUS). Infections were classified as healthcare-associated when they occurred at least 48 hours after hospital admission and were not complications of infections present upon admission, or when infections were detected following hospital discharge but were related to the previous admission.\(^30\) Healthcare-associated BSIs were confirmed by a S. aureus positive blood culture (BACTEC 9120-Becton Dickinson) in the presence of at least one of the following clinical manifestations: fever or hypothermia defined by an axillary temperature > 38 °C or < 36 °C, respectively; cardiac rate higher than 90 bpm; respiratory rate higher than 20 ipm or PaCO2 < 32 mmHg; leukocyte count > 12,000 leucocytes/mm\(^3\) or < 4,000 leucocytes/mm\(^3\), or > 10% of immature forms; organ disorder, hypoperfusion, or hypotension; or persistent sepsis-induced hypotension in spite of adequate fluid replacement.\(^30,31\)

The severity of the underlying disease at admission was evaluated according to the criteria proposed by McCabe and Jackson, with modifications, and was classified into three groups: rapidly fatal disease, potentially fatal disease, and non-fatal disease or absence of underlying disease.\(^32\)

Attributable mortality was defined as the excess mortality caused by BSI and was calculated by subtracting the mortality rate of the control patients from the mortality rate of the case patients with BSI. The excess length of hospital stay and the excess duration of use of invasive devices were each defined as the difference between the values obtained for cases and controls. The excess cost attributed to healthcare-associated BSIs was defined as the difference in overall costs between cases and controls. Cost data were obtained from the Brazilian National Public Health Reimbursement System for Hospital Admission (Sistema de Informação Hospitalar Data – SUS).\(^33\) Reimbursements are made using predefined values for each particular disease or health state. This compensation system does not account for costs due to antimicrobials, parenteral nutrition, nor blood products used during the hospitalization. The medical records of each patient were reviewed to check for antimicrobials, blood products, and parenteral nutrition required for cases and controls. Estimates of costs for antimicrobial agents were based on the reference table used by private health insurance plans. Costs were calculated in
Brazilian reais (R$) and then converted to United States dollars (US$) using the exchange rate of 2001.

**Statistical analysis**

Comparisons between cases and controls were conducted using Student’s t-test for continuous variables and the Fisher’s exact test or chi-squared tests for categorical variables. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to compare length of hospital stay and direct hospital costs between cases and controls. McNemar’s test was used to compare mortality rates between cases and controls. A Mantel-Haenszel stratified analysis, according to ICU admission, was performed to evaluate invasive device utilization, of both cases and controls. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were calculated. p-values of less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Data analyses were conducted using Epi Info 6.0 and Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 13.0 (SPSS). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Research on Humans and Animals of the Universidade Federal de Goiás.

**Results**

Eighty four cases of healthcare-associated *S. aureus* BSI in patients older than 13 years of age were identified. These cases were successfully matched, resulting in 84 case-control pairs matched by gender, age, admission period, morbidity, and underlying disease. Male patients represented 57.0% of cases. Participants’ ages ranged from 14 to 91 years; no difference between the mean ages of cases and controls was detected (p=0.73). Cases and controls did not differ with respect to the severity of the underlying disease at admission (p=0.44), the presence of co-morbidities (p=0.31), or the use of immunosuppressant therapies (p=0.10). Diagnoses of diabetes mellitus and chronic renal failure were more frequent among cases, compared to controls, and the risk of presenting at least one episode of healthcare-associated infection was 9.7 times higher among cases, compared to controls (Table 1). Among the 84 cases, 49 (58.3%) had a BSI due to MRSA. The median length of hospital stay prior to hospital-associated BSI of cases was 18.5 days, ranging from two to 154 days. Cases were more frequently admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) compared to controls (p<0.01). A stratified analysis for invasive device utilization, adjusted for ICU admission, disclosed that patients required central venous catheters (OR_{MH} 73.8, 95% CI 20.4-309.3) and mechanical ventilation (OR_{MH} 6.3, 95% CI 1.8-22.9) more frequently than controls. No statistically significant difference was found between cases and controls regarding the use of either urinary catheters (OR_{MH} 2.2, 95% CI 0.9-5.1), or surgical procedures (OR_{MH} 1.2, 95% CI 0.6-2.6). Cases received more parenteral nutrition (OR_{MH} 6.6, 95% CI 2.1-74.5) than controls.

The raw mortality rates for cases and controls were 57.1% (48/84) and 11.9% (10/84), respectively (p<0.01). Attributable mortality to *S. aureus* BSI was 45.2%. Mortality associated with BSI was higher in cases compared to controls (OR 7.33, 95% CI 3.11-21.1).

The median length of hospital stay among cases was 37 days, ranging from two to 390 days. The median length of hospital stay among controls was 12 days, ranging from two to 57 days. An excess of 2,700 hospitalization days was attributed to BSI among cases, compared to controls. A statistically significant difference was observed between cases and controls regarding the mean duration of use of invasive devices, such as urinary catheter and mechanical ventilation. Compared to controls, cases had 763 excess ICU days, in addition to a longer duration of use of invasive devices, including parenteral nutrition, mechanical ventilation, and urinary catheter (Table 2).

The average number of episodes of healthcare-associated BSI per case was 3.4; the average number of episodes of healthcare-associated BSI per control was 0.3. Among cases, 71 pathogens, in addition to *S. aureus*, were isolated from various body sites during hospitalization. Gram-negative bacilli represented the majority of isolates. Fig. 1 presents antimicrobial agents prescribed for cases and controls. Cases were prescribed more antimicrobials than controls. Fifty-two out of 84 cases received vancomycin, while only three controls required this drug.

The total hospitalization direct costs (SIH-SUS) were US$ 123,065 (US$ 1,465/patient) for cases, and US$ 40,247 (US$ 479/patient) for controls. The hospitalization cost for the cases was 3.1 times higher compared to controls. The excess hospitalization cost attributable to healthcare-associated *S. aureus* BSI of cases compared to controls was US$ 82,818. Costs due to use of antimicrobial agents were US$ 119,210 among cases, and US$ 17,650 among controls. The total cost attributable to BSI was US$ 101,559. The mean costs due to antimicrobial agents were US$ 1,419 per case and US$ 210 per control. Antimicrobials expenditure for cases was 6.7 times higher than for controls (Table 3).

An analysis of direct costs among the subset of patients who survived BSI, including 36 cases and 74 controls, showed...
Table 1 – Baseline characteristics of 84 cases with healthcare-associated S. aureus bloodstream infections (BSIs) and 84 matched controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Cases n = 84 (%)</th>
<th>Controls n = 84 (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>47 (57.0)</td>
<td>47 (57.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>47.7 (19.1)</td>
<td>48.7 (18.1)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR (25% - 75%)</td>
<td>33.0 – 62.5</td>
<td>35.5-63.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity of underlying disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapidly fatal</td>
<td>6 (7.1)</td>
<td>4 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatal</td>
<td>28 (33.4)</td>
<td>26 (31.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfatal disease or absence of underlying disease</td>
<td>50 (59.5)</td>
<td>54 (64.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presence of co-morbidities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>22 (26.2)</td>
<td>28 (33.3)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one</td>
<td>62 (73.8)</td>
<td>56 (66.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of co-morbidities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppressive therapy</td>
<td>25 (29.8)</td>
<td>16 (19.0)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>21 (25.0)</td>
<td>12 (14.3)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic renal failure</td>
<td>21 (25.0)</td>
<td>7 (8.3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>5 (5.9)</td>
<td>5 (5.9)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Episodes of infections other than BSI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare-associated</td>
<td>282 (335.7)</td>
<td>29 (34.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community-acquired</td>
<td>18 (21.4)</td>
<td>13 (15.5)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range.

that costs were US$ 38,647 (US$ 1,073/patient) among cases and US$ 34,230 (US$ 462/patient) among controls. Considering expenses among survivors, the reimbursement cost for cases was 1.1 times higher than for controls. The ICU cost among cases was 20.4 times higher than among controls.

**Discussion**

This study identified an increased length of hospital stay, a higher mortality rate, and an excess of direct costs related to S. aureus BSIs.
Studies in addition to economic implications of treating BSIs, another strategy aimed at increasing the homogeneity of the study population is to define one etiological agent (S. aureus) and one infection site. 

Differences across studies may be partially explained by limiting the study samples to survivors or by choices of matching variables. Patients hospitalized longer are at greater risk of contracting a healthcare-associated infection; however, length of hospital stay is also a marker for the severity of the underlying disease. Therefore, an important matching variable would be the length of hospital stay prior to bacteremia that were longer than that of the matched control. This may suggest that cases could had more severe diseases, and points to a possible overestimation of severity scores or scales, such as the McCabe and Jackson score, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluations (APACHE), and the Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAP) were developed to evaluate the risk of death during hospitalization, and they have not been validated for other purposes.

In this study, cases remained in the hospital an average of 32.1 days longer than controls. The cases also stayed longer in the ICU than controls and also required a larger number of invasive procedures, possibly attributable to the BSI. An association between BSI and increased length of hospital stay has been described previously; estimates of excess length of hospital stay have ranged widely from 1.2 to 32 days. 
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